MAH MBA CET 2026 Slot 1 Analysis: Easy but Lengthy Paper with High Cutoff Expected

The **MAH MBA CET 2026** kicked off on a relatively comfortable note, with **Day 1 – Slot 1** being rated **easy to moderate** by most aspirants. However, despite the low conceptual difficulty, the paper turned out to be **lengthy**, making time management the biggest challenge.

With **200 questions in 150 minutes** and **no negative marking**, the exam once again rewarded candidates who focused on **maximizing attempts while maintaining accuracy**.

Based on student feedback and expert insights, this slot is expected to have a **higher cutoff compared to last year**.

Overall Exam Overview

Section	**No. of Questions**	**Difficulty Level**
Logical Reasoning	75	Easy but Lengthy
Abstract Reasoning	25	Easy
Quantitative Aptitude	50	Easy to Moderate
VARC	50	Easy to Moderate
Total	**200**	**Easy but Lengthy**

Key Highlights of Slot 1

- The paper was **easy overall but highly time-consuming**
- **Logical Reasoning had no traditional puzzles**, mostly individual questions
- **Quant was calculation-heavy**, especially with decimals
- **Abstract Reasoning was easy**, dominated by figure-based questions
- **VARC was scoring**, with focus on grammar and vocabulary
- Several questions were **similar to mock tests**, benefiting well-prepared students

---

Section-wise Analysis

Verbal Ability & Reading Comprehension (VARC)

The VARC section was **easy to moderate** and leaned heavily towards **grammar and vocabulary**, making it a **high-scoring section**.

- **5 RC passages (20 questions)**
  Topics included:
    - Women Empowerment
    - Cybercrime
    - Tariffs
    - Electric Vehicles
    - One general topic
- RCs were mostly **direct and detail-based**, with a few inference questions
- One passage was reported to be slightly time-consuming

Breakdown:

Topic	**Questions**
Reading Comprehension	20
Vocabulary	7
Grammar	10
Fill in the Blanks	7
Para Jumbles	1
Idioms & Phrases	5
Total	**50**

Grammar included:

- Error spotting
- Active-passive voice
- Direct-indirect speech

- Sentence correction

Overall: Manageable and scoring with high accuracy potential.

Quantitative Aptitude

Quant was **easy to moderate**, but **calculation-intensive**, making it time-consuming.

- Heavy use of **decimals and multi-step calculations**
- Concepts were simple, but execution slowed students down

Breakdown:

Topic	**Questions**
Time-Speed-Distance	7
Profit & Loss	7
Time & Work	5
Data Interpretation	10
Geometry	3
Percentages	3
Others (Averages, Ages, HCF-LCM, etc.)	Remaining
Total	**50**

- Dominated by **Arithmetic**
- Minimal Algebra presence

Attempts: Most students attempted **30–35 questions**

Overall: Doable but required strong time management.

Logical Reasoning

Logical Reasoning was **easy in difficulty but unique in structure**.

- **No traditional puzzles**
- Mostly **standalone questions**
- Only **one puzzle-type set** reported

Breakdown:

Topic	Questions
Arrangements & Ranking	13
Number Series	8
Coding-Decoding	4
Syllogisms	5
Blood Relations	5
Directions	5
Input-Output	5
Miscellaneous	Remaining
Total	**75**

- Included **quant-based reasoning** (TSD, Probability, Divisibility)
- Many questions allowed **quick elimination**

Overall: Easy but **very lengthy**, requiring smart selection.

Abstract Reasoning

This section was **easy and highly scoring**, though slightly ambiguous in a few questions.

Breakdown:

Topic	Questions
Series	8

Topic	Questions
Figure Counting	8
Pattern Comparison	5
Paper Folding	2
Others	2
Total	**25**

- Dominated by **visual reasoning**
- 3–4 questions were slightly confusing

Overall: Quick wins for well-practiced students.

Expected Score vs Percentile (Slot 1)

Percentile	Score (Approx)
99.9	153–158
99.5	130–132
99	122–124
95	103–105
90	96–98
85	90–92
80	85–87
75	80–82
70	75–77
65	70–72

Percentile	Score (Approx)
60	65–67

Student Reactions

Student feedback across Slot 1 was largely consistent:

- The paper was **very easy but lengthy**
- Logical Reasoning had **no puzzles**, only individual questions
- Quant was **calculation-heavy**
- VARC and Abstract were **scoring sections**
- Many questions felt **familiar from mocks**

Key challenge: Time management, not difficulty.

Overall Takeaway

Slot 1 of MAH MBA CET 2026 was a **speed-driven paper**.

- **Low conceptual difficulty**
- **High time pressure**
- Strong scoring opportunities in **VARC and Abstract Reasoning**
- Smart selection needed in **Quant and Logical Reasoning**

The biggest shift was seen in **Logical Reasoning**, moving away from puzzles to standalone questions.

Given the ease level and student feedback, the **cutoff is expected to be higher**, making **normalization across slots crucial**.